

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	THE DISCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	THE THATES IT LETTOR		0026	
09/897,779	06/29/2001	Zine Eddine Boutaghou	1734.001US1	9826 ·	
75'	90 01/28/2004		EXAMI	INER	
Paul T Dietz			HEINZ, A	HEINZ, ALLEN J	
Seagate Techno	logy LLC			O A DED AUDADED	
Intellectual Pror	perty Dept NRW097		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7801 Computer	Avenue South		2653	6	
7801 Computer Avenue South Bloomington, MN 55435		DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action		09/897,779	BOUTAGHOU ET AL.		
,,,,,,,,		Examiner	Art Unit		
		A. J. HEINZ	2653		
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY (check either a) or b)]			
b) The period for reply e event, however, will the ONLY CHECK THIS 706.07(f).	ne statutory period for reply expire later the BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
have been filed is the date for pur 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from	rposes of determining the period of extens n: (1) the expiration date of the shortened received by the Office later than three mo	statutory period for reply originally set in	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the second seco		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed ame	ndment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b)  they raise the	issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	amended claim(s) would allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment		
	b)  exhibit, or c)  request fo lition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the		
	nibit will NOT be considered bed niner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly		
	• •	c(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	•		
The status of the cl	laim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected	to:				
Claim(s) rejected:	<u>21-41</u> .				
Claim(s) withdraw	n from consideration:				
8. The drawing correct	ction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:					
			S. HEINZ Primary Examiner		
			Art Unit: 2653		



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The changes to Cl.21 have cured the indefiniteness indicated in paragraph 1 of the final office action [paper#10], however some of the changes also have changed the claim-defined location of the first and second surfaces which would require extensive review and/or researching of the prior art..